<u>Fourteenth round of Informal Consultations of States Parties to the United</u> <u>Nations Agreement for the Implementation of the Provisions of the United Nations</u> <u>Convention on the Law of the Sea of 10 December 1982 relating to the</u> <u>Conservation and Management of Straddling Fish Stocks and Highly Migratory</u> <u>Fish Stocks (ICSP-14)</u>

"Structure and conduct of performance reviews: experiences, best practices, challenges and opportunities"

Presentation Structure

- 1. Presentation of my own experience:
 - Member of two performance reviews IOTC and NAFO
 - both organizations were going through their second performance review
 - In both cases as an external member of the panel
 - Member of the Brazilian delegation to ICCAT from 2013 to 2017 period in which the organization was preparing to hold its second performance review.
- 2. Comments on Internal vs External panelist:
 - Need for a careful balance
 - Importance of internal panelists:
 - i. know the real challenges and difficulties
 - ii. have institutional memory
 - Disadvantage of Internal Panelists:
 - i. might be too involved with their own policies
 - Good balance might be found through nominating people who have been involved in the past and now have some distance.
 - Importance of External panelists:
 - i. have no direct interest in the organization
 - ii. bring a fresh perspective
 - Disadvantage of External Panelists:
 - i. it takes a long time to understand the structures, issue, challenges
 - Best way to assure is to guarantee that both internal and external panelists are part of the whole process and work constantly together.
- 3. Need to update the criteria and choice of experts as circumstances evolve:
 - Tendency to use same criteria and same structure of panelists
 - More streamlined criteria, focusing on the real issues faced by the organization, are key panels usually have a short time to meet and come to conclusions;
 - Too many criteria and issues to develop might lead to general conclusions applied across the board, which might not be useful;
- 4. Performance reviews are not a silver bullet:
 - Many times difficult issues are sent for panels to opine on, but no solution will truly be found unless parties are committed.